Atty Docket: 678-562 (P9449)

REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application, in view of the following remarks and above amendments, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-11 are pending in this application. Claims 1-5 and 10-11 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,125,287 ("Cushman et al."). Claims 6-9 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim. The Examiner's finding of allowable subject matter in Claims 6-9 is gratefully acknowledged.

Claims 1 and 5 have been amended. No new subject matter has been presented.

Claims 1 and 5, which are the only independent claims pending in this application, were rejected as allegedly anticipated by Cushman et al.

Claim 1 has been amended to clarify the claimed invention. It is believed that Cushman et al., as well as the other cited references, fails to disclose or suggest at least the recitation of performing a newly set function according to the consecutive input of the same key, wherein the newly set function is a phone directory function, as in amended Claim 1.

Claim 5 has been amended for grammatical purposes. The portions of Cushman et al. cited by the Examiner (Office Action, bottom of page 3) as allegedly anticipating Claim 5 disclose a user interface that allows a user to select a desired record by scrolling among records in a search list using "the up and down arrow key" (Col. 6, lines 15-16), and further disclose providing "eight memory locations for [storing a record for eight] frequently called numbers"

(Col. 5, lines 56-57), which can be called "by simply holding down the key corresponding to the record" (Col. 6, line 29).

Notably, the "predetermined interval" (Col. 6, lines 33-34) cited by the Examiner has no relation to operation of the scroll key. Rather, the "predetermined interval" taught by Cushman et al. relates to the period of time that the "6 key" must be held down to initiate dialing of the frequently dialed number that was assigned the "6 key" location. Nowhere, either in the portions cited by the Examiner or elsewhere, does Cushman et al. disclose or suggest that such "predetermined interval" relates to the period of time that a key set for a scroll function is maintained.

Accordingly, nowhere does Cushman et al. disclose or suggest at least the recitation of detecting whether an input state of a key set for a scroll function is maintained for a predetermined period of time of Claim 5.

Without conceding the patentability *per se* of the dependent claims, it is submitted that dependent Claims 2-4 and 6-11 are allowable for the above reasons, at least by virtue of their respective dependency upon Claims 1 and 5.

Finally, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner acknowledge the priority claim that was made to Korean Patent Appl. No. 2000/51867, a certified copy of which was filed on April 4, 2001. It is further requested that the Examiner make of record the Information Disclosure Statement that was filed on October 6, 2003.

PATENT

Atty Docket: 678-562 (P9449)

Should the Examiner believe that a telephone conference or personal interview would facilitate resolution of any remaining matters, the Examiner is requested to contact Applicant's attorney at the number given below.

Respectfully submitted,

Peter G. Dilworth

Reg. No. 26,450

Attorney for Applicant(s)

DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP

333 Earle Ovington Boulevard Uniondale, New York 11553

TEL: (516) 228-8484